

## Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

### Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

#### Section A: Overview

1. **Date of Submission:** 2011-02-22
2. **Agency:** 007
3. **Bureau:** 57
4. **Name of this Investment:** DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
5. **Unique Project (Investment) Identifier (UPI):** 007-57-01-01-01-0178-00

6. **What kind of investment will this be in FY 2012?:** Mixed Life Cycle

- Planning
- Full Acquisition
- Operations and Maintenance
- Mixed Life Cycle
- Multi-Agency Collaboration

7. **What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?** FY2004

8.

- a. **Provide a brief summary of the investment and justification, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap, specific accomplishments expected by the budget year and the related benefit to the mission, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment.**

Program Description: Defense Enterprise Accounting and Management System (DEAMS) was approved under Business Management Modernization Program (BMMP) as a joint United States Transportation Command (USTRANSCOM), Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS), and Air Force (AF) project to replace legacy systems with commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS)-based financial accounting software (general ledger, accounts payable, accounts receivable, financial reporting, billing, etc.). DEAMS will use a Joint Financial Management Improvement Program (JFMIP)/Financial Systems Integration Office (FSIO) certified COTS software package (Oracle) as its core system software and will conform to requirements promulgated by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Chief Financial Officers (CFO) Act, Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA), Government Management Reform Act (GMRA), Federal Financial Management Improvement Act (FFMIA), Business Transformation Agency (BTA)'s Business Enterprise Architecture and other related laws, regulations, and policies. Accurate, reliable, and timely financial information is a top priority of the Secretary of the Air Force and Chief of Staff of the Air Force (CSAF). This can only be achieved through a modernization and integrated software solution accompanied by sound accounting processes proven through successful audits. FY12: Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation (RDT&E) (\$76.953M) breaks out into the following detailed areas: Program Management, System Development, Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA)/Global Combat Support System (GCSS)-AF Support, and System Implementation & Fielding. These activities include: design, build and test forty Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, Extensions Workflow (RICEW) objects for Spiral 3&4 functionality; provide Help Desk Support for Spiral 2 users at Scott AFB; hardware and software support provided by DISA and GCSS-AF for production and production continuity of operations (COOP) environments; initial change management and role mapping efforts in advance of Spiral 3/4 rollout; and Spiral 5 blueprinting. Other Procurement Funds (\$14.828M) will be used to procure hardware and software required for staging and operational implementation in the Global Combat Support System Integration framework. Operations and Maintenance (\$2.200M) funds the Strategic Communications contract and Functional Management Office travel. .

- b. **Provide any links to relevant websites that would be useful to gain additional information on the**

investment including links to GAO and IG reports.

Title	Link
NONE	

9.

- a. Provide the date of the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approval of this investment.  
2010-08-04
- b. Provide the date of the most recent or planned approved project charter. 2010-10-31

10. Contact information?

- a. Program/Project Manager Name: \*  
Phone Number: \*  
Email: \*
- b. Business Function Owner Name (i.e. Executive Agent or Investment Owner): Randy Newcome  
Phone Number: \*  
Email: \*

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (choose only one per FAC-P/PM or DAWIA): Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

## Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding

(In millions of dollars)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Acquisition:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Planning & Acquisition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition(DME):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations & Maintenance:	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Disposition Costs (optional):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Operations, Maintenance, Disposition Government FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Subtotal O&M and Disposition Costs (SS):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL FTE Costs	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (not including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
TOTAL (including FTE costs):	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Number of FTE represented by	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

**Table I.B.1: Summary of Funding**  
**(In millions of dollars)**

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2010	CY 2011 (CY Continuing Resolution)	BY 2012	BY+1 2013	BY+2 2014	BY+3 2015	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Costs:									

2. Insert the number of years covered in the column “PY-1 and earlier”: 6

3. Insert the number of years covered in the column “BY+4 and beyond”: \*

4. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2011 President’s Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

\*

## Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Competed	Short description of acquisition
Awarded		<a href="#">FA877006F8001</a>	GS35F4692G		*	*	\$96,610,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2006-02-03	2011-02-02	Y	200606!000102!5700!FA8770!MSG/PK!GS35F4692G !C!N! !Y!FA877006F8001! !20060203!20101116!858485758!858485758!137820580!N!ACCENTURE LLP !11951 FREEDOM DR !RESTON !VA!20190!66672!059!51! Reston !Fairfax !Virginia !+000004056180!N!N!0000000000!D307!Automated Info System Design&Integration Svcs !S1

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
													!SERVICES !000 !NOT
Awarded		<a href="#">FA701409D0005</a>			*	*	\$2,890,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2009-02-24		Y	Advisory and Assistance Services
Awarded		<a href="#">FA445211F0003</a>	GS35F5202H		*	*	\$1,350,000.0	Labor Hours	Y	2010-10-01	2013-09-30	Y	DCBS Enhancements
Awarded		5TS57070188	GS35F0606L		*	*	\$5,030,000.0	Time and Materials	N	2007-10-01	2012-09-30	Full and Open Competition	Functional/Developmental Help Desk Support (Secure Data)
Awarded		<a href="#">W91QUZ06A0002</a>			*	*	\$300,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	X	2006-08-10		Y	DLT solutions
Awarded		<a href="#">FA877010F8000</a>	GS35F0009T		*	*	\$320,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2009-10-02	2009-10-02	Y	ORACLE LICENSE FY10
Awarded		<a href="#">FA877104D0003</a>			*	*	\$20,000.0	Firm Fixed	N	2003-10-01		Y	Migrated

Table I.C.1 Contracts Table

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)	Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
Price													Data Value Unknown
Awarded		<a href="#">FA877104D0007</a>			*	*	\$10,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2004-01-01		Y	Migrated Data Value Unknown
Awarded		FA445210F0006			*	*	\$320,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2010-02-11	2013-09-30	Full and Open Competition	DEAMS Enterprise Security Support
Awarded		GST0510BM0026	GS010F0140R		*	*	\$520,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2010-03-11	2010-09-30	Full and Open Competition	Transportation Financial Management system (TFMS) Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) (Ernst and Young)
Awarded		FA877110D00790002			*	*	\$330,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2010-01-29	2011-01-31	Full and Open Competition	GCSS-AF Subject Matter Expert (Lockheed Martin)
Awarded		FA872107D00041010			*	*	\$14,429,000.0	Time and Materials	Y	2007-09-15	2012-04-18	Full and Open	Professional Acquisition

Contract Status	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	Solicitation ID	Table I.C.1 Contracts Table			Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)	Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?	Effective date	Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order	Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
					Alternative financing	EVM Required	Ultimate Contract Value (M)						
Awarded		<a href="#">FA872107D0015</a>			*	*	\$8,199,000.0	Labor Hours	N	2007-05-21		Y	ETASS Basic
Awarded		<a href="#">FA877009C0064</a>		-	*	*	\$4,100,000.0	-	-	-	2011-12-22	-	-
				Solicitation ID		Type of Contract/Task Order (Pricing)		PBSA		Effective date		Extent Completed	Short description of acquisition
						Labor Hours		N		2009-09-30		N	DEAMS IV&V
						Cost No Fee		N		2009-09-30		N	DEAMS IV&V
Awarded		<a href="#">FA877104D0003</a>			*	*	\$1,700,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2003-10-01		Y	Migrated Data Value Unknown
Awarded		5TP57080304B	GS10F0140R		*	*	\$39,100,000.0	Time and Materials	N	2008-06-20	2012-11-30	Full and Open Competition	Capital Cities Technology Enterprise Resource Planning
Awarded		5TP5707003A	GS23F0092J		*	*	\$24,900,000.0	Time and Materials	N	2005-07-28	2011-03-28	Full and Open Competition	Functional Support (Kearney and Co., Inc.)



Awarded		GST0509BM0128	GST0509BM0128		*	*	\$3,000,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2009-09-19	2014-09-18	Full and Open Competition	Strategic Communications (Booz Allen Hamilton)
Awarded		GST0509BM0035	5TP57090112		*	*	\$14,050,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2009-03-01	2013-11-30	Full and Open Competition	Change Management (Booz Allen Hamilton)
Awarded		<a href="#">FA877010F0032</a>	GS35F0009T		*	*	\$70,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	Y	2010-05-26	2011-05-26	Y	Oracle Time & Labor (OT&L) Licenses
Awarded		FA440711F6041		0	*	*	\$20,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2010-10-01	2011-09-30	Full and Open Competition	Hardware, servers
Awarded		FA440711F6018		0	*	*	\$10,000.0	Firm Fixed Price	N	2010-10-01	2011-09-30	Full and Open Competition	Tool for Oracle Application Developers. Software application for development and administration of Oracle databases using SQL.
Awarded		5TP57080304B		0	*	*	\$560,000.0	Time and Materials	N	2010-03-11	2012-11-30	Full and Open Competition	ERP Implementation Support Services

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

\*

3.

- a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? If yes, please answer the questions that follow \*
- b. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 \*
- c. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements \*
- d. If "yes," enter the date of approval? \*
- e. Is the acquisition plan consistent with your agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? \*
- f. Does the acquisition plan meet the requirements of EOs 13423 and 13514? \*
- g. If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation.

\*

## Part II: IT Capital Investments

### Section A: General

1.
  - a. Confirm that the IT Program/Project manager has the following competencies: configuration management, data management, information management, information resources strategy and planning, information systems/network security, IT architecture, IT performance assessment, infrastructure design, systems integration, systems life cycle, technology awareness, and capital planning and investment control. yes
  - b. If not, confirm that the PM has a development plan to achieve competencies either by direct experience or education.
  
2. Describe the progress of evaluating cloud computing alternatives for service delivery to support this investment. DEAMS is integrated into services provided by GCSS-AF. Core software not certified for cloud computing. AF and DISA are analyzing impact of moving Enterprise Resource Planning applications out of GCSS-AF into DISA cloud environment.
  
3. Provide the date of the most recent or planned Quality Assurance Plan 2007-06-30
  
4.
  - a. Provide the UPI of all other investments that have a significant dependency on the successful implementation of this investment.  
 007-21-01-03-02-4291-00,007-97-01-01-02-6485-00,007-97-01-01-02-6953-00,007-57-01-01-02-6484-00,  
 007-57-01-01-02-5037-00,007-97-01-01-02-0866-00,007-97-01-01-02-0977-00,007-97-01-01-02-0252-00,  
 007-57-01-03-02-8025-00
  - b. If this investment is significantly dependent on the successful implementation of another investment(s), please provide the UPI(s).
  
5. An Alternatives Analysis must be conducted for all Major Investments with Planning and Acquisition (DME) activities and evaluate the costs and benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date of the most recent or planned alternatives analysis for this investment. 2010-09-29
  
6. Risks must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan and risk register must be available to OMB upon request. Provide the date that the risk register was last updated. 2011-01-25

## Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Increment 1, Spiral 1, Technology Demonstration, Blueprinting		*	\$6.7	\$6.7	2006-02-03	2006-03-28	2007-01-17	2007-02-13	100.00%	100.00%
Increment 1, Spiral 1 Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, and Extensions (RICE), Commitment Accounting		*	\$7.0	\$7.0	2006-09-19	2006-09-19	2007-01-27	2007-02-13	100.00%	100.00%
Increment 1, Spiral 1, Testing		*	\$1.3	\$1.3	2006-10-27	2006-10-27	2007-05-03	2007-05-07	100.00%	100.00%
Increment 1, Spiral 1, Go-live, Training and Change Management, Certification and Accreditation (C and A) and System Engineering Program Management (SEPM) - Technology Demonstration/D evelopmental testbed		*	\$5.1	\$5.1	2006-10-27	2006-10-27	2007-05-07	2007-07-27	100.00%	100.00%
Increment 2		*	*	*		*	2017-01-31	*	*	*
Inc 1 Tech Demo - PMO/FMO Program	DME	*	\$133.2	\$122.4	2006-02-03	2006-02-03	2011-02-02	2011-02-02	100.00%	100.00%

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Management/Direct Mission Support										
Inc 1 Tech Demo Systems Integrator - Enterprise Support Services	DME	*	\$7.5	\$7.1	2006-02-03	2006-02-03	2011-02-02	2011-02-02	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1 Tech Demo - Government Test Support	DME	*	\$15.8	\$14.5	2004-01-01	2004-01-01	2011-02-02	2010-09-30	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1 Tech Demo - SI - Other Development	DME	*	\$8.8	\$8.7	2006-02-03	2006-02-03	2011-02-02	2011-02-02	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1 Tech Demo - SI - Post Production Support/Integrated Logistics Support (ILS)	DME	*	\$15.0	\$13.9	2007-08-01	2007-08-01	2011-02-02	2011-02-02	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1 Tech Demo - DISA/GCSS-AF Support	DME	*	\$16.9	\$13.9	2007-03-21	2007-03-21	2011-02-02	2011-02-02	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1 Tech Demo - Hardware and Software Maintenance	DME	*	\$2.6	\$2.2	2007-01-26	2007-01-26	2011-02-02	2011-02-02	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1 Tech Demo - Billing Module (DCBS) Development and Sustainment	DME	*	\$5.2	\$3.3	2008-04-01	2008-04-01	2013-09-30		51.00%	63.00%
Inc 1 (Spiral 3&4) Deployment (Roll-Out)	DME	*	*	*	2014-04-16	*	2016-07-15	*	*	*
Increment 2 Design and Development	DME	*	*	*	2012-12-15	*	2016-07-15	*	*	*

Table II.B.1. Comparison of Actual Work Completed and Actual Costs to Current Approved Baseline:

Description of Activity	DME or SS	Agency EA Transition Plan Milestone Identifier	Planned Cost (\$M)	Actual Cost (\$M)	Planned Start Date	Actual Start Date	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Planned Percent Complete	Actual Percent Complete
Increment 2 Deployment	DME	*	*	*	2016-07-16	*	2017-12-30	*	*	*
Inc 1 (Spiral 3&4) Design/Development/Test	DME	*	*	*	2012-02-15	*	2014-04-15	*	*	*
Increment 1 Sustainment	SS	*	*	*	2011-12-15	*	2016-07-15	*	*	*
DEAMS Sustainment (Post FOC)	SS	*	*	*	2017-12-30	*	2027-12-30	*	*	*
Inc 1, Spiral 2 Tech Demo System Integrator Reports, Interfaces, Conversions, Extensions (RICE) Development	DME	*	\$11.5	\$11.5	2006-10-19	2006-08-08	2009-07-10	2009-08-17	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1 Tech Demo System Integrator Training Development	DME	*	\$2.6	\$2.6	2006-12-14	2006-11-30	2010-01-11	2010-03-26	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1, Spiral 2 Tech Demo System Integrator Test	DME	*	\$8.0	\$8.0	2007-01-04	2006-11-01	2010-05-24	2010-05-24	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1 Tech Demo Hardware Procurement	DME	*	\$4.8	\$4.8	2006-11-16	2006-10-19	2009-03-16	2009-03-19	100.00%	100.00%
Inc 1 Tech Demo Software Procurement	DME	*	\$4.6	\$4.6	2005-06-30	2005-06-30	2006-06-27	2006-06-27	100.00%	100.00%

**2. If the investment cost, schedule, or performance variances are not within 10 percent of the current baseline, provide a complete analysis of the reasons for the variances, the corrective actions to be taken, and the most likely estimate at completion.** Variances are within 10%.

3. For mixed lifecycle or operations and maintenance investments an Operational Analysis must be performed annually. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request. Insert the date of the most recent or planned operational analysis.

2011-05-27

4. Did the Operational analysis cover all 4 areas of analysis: Customer Results, Strategic and Business Results, Financial Performance, and Innovation?

yes

Section C: Financial Management Systems

Table II.C.1: Financial Management Systems			
System(s) Name	System acronym	Type of Financial System	BY Funding
*	*	*	*



Section D: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (For Multi-Agency Collaborations only)

Table II.D.1. Customer Table:	
Customer Agency	Joint exhibit approval date
NONE	

Table II.D.2. Shared Service Providers		
Shared Service Provider (Agency)	Shared Service Asset Title	Shared Service Provider Exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2011)
*	*	*

Table II.D.3. For IT Investments, Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions):							
Partner Agency	Partner exhibit 53 UPI (BY 2012)	CY Monetary Contribution	CY “In-Kind” Contribution	CY Fee-for-Service	BY Monetary Contribution	BY “In-Kind” Contribution	BY Fee-for-Service
NONE							

Table II.D.4. Legacy Systems Being Replaced		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	Current UPI	Date of the System Retirement
*	*	*

## Section E: Performance Information

Table I.E.1a. Performance Metric Attributes

Measurement Area (For IT Assets)	Measurement Grouping (For IT Assets)	Measurement Indicator	Reporting Frequency	Unit of Measure	Performance Measure Direction	Baseline	Year Baseline Established for this measure (Origination Date)
Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Compliance with Chief Financial Officer's Act of 1990 and Government Management Reform Act Requirements	annual	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	DEAMS Inc1, Sp2 JFMIP/FSIO assertion checklist (valid CFO attestation)	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Compliance with Chief Financial Officer's Act of 1990 and government Management Reform Act Requirements.	annual	TBD	TBD	Inc 1, Sp 2 JFMIP/FSIO assertion checklist (valid CFO attestation)	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Successful Annual JFMIP/FSIO and Internal Controls Assertions.	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Compliance with Chief Financial Officer's Act of 1990 and Government Management Reform Act Requirements	annual	number	TBD	Inc1, Sp2 JFMIP/FSIO assertion checklist (valid CFO attestation)	2010-10-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Successful Annual JFMIP/FSIO and Internal Controls Assertions	Successfully completed internal controls assertion Feb 2010 and	Met	2011-02-11

reviewed by SAF.

Customer Results	Access	Ensure the future Defense financial manager is a trusted partner in the business of Defense, and identify system security requirements	annual	TBD	TBD	DEAMS has an approved DOD Information Assurance, Certification and Accreditation (C&A)	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Ensure DEAMS is 100% compliant and obtains approval during annual security reviews. Maintain Information Assurance and Certification and Accreditation (C&A).	DEAMS received approved Approval to Operate (ATO) on Air Force networks	Met	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Access	Identify system security requirements	annual	TBD	TBD	DEAMS has an approved DOD Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation (C&A)	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	Ensure DEAMS is 100% compliant and obtains approval during annual security reviews. Maintain Information Assurance and Certification and Accreditation (C&A).	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Access	Identify System security requirements.	annual	TBD	TBD	DEAMS has an approved DOD Information Assurance, Certification and Accreditation (C&A).	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated

			2011	Ensure DEAMS is 100% compliant and obtains approval during annual security reviews. Maintain Information Assurance and Certification and Accreditation (C&A).	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Access	Identify system security requirements.	annual	TBD	TBD	DEAMS has an approved DOD Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation (C&A).	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	Ensure DEAMS is 100% compliant and obtains approval during annual security reviews. Maintain Information Assurance and Certification and Accreditation (C&A).	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Customer Results	Access	Identify system security requirements.	annual	TBD	TBD	DEAMS has an approved DOD Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation (C&A).	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Ensure DEAMS is 100% compliant and obtains approval during annual security reviews. Maintain Information Assurance and Certification and Accreditation (C&A).	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Technology	Interoperability	Implement a strategy migrating systems into DOD Business Enterprise Architecture	annual	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01

(BEA) for  
USTRANSCOM, USAF,  
and DFAS

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2011	DEAMS target is to be 100% compliance with BEA's latest revision	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20

Technology	Interoperability	Implement a strategy migrating systems into DOD Business Enterprise Architecture for USTRANSCOM, USAF, and DFAS.	annual	TBD	TBD	Current BEA compliance.	2010-09-01
------------	------------------	--	--------	-----	-----	-------------------------	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2012	Maintain compliance with each annual BEA version update.	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20

Technology	Interoperability	Implement a strategy migrating systems into DOD Business Enterprise Architecture for USTRANSCOM, USAF, and DFAS.	annual	TBD	TBD	Current BEA compliance.	2010-09-01
------------	------------------	--	--------	-----	-----	-------------------------	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
2013	Maintain compliance with each annual BEA version update	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20

Technology	Interoperability	Implement a strategy migrating systems into DOD Business Enterprise Architecture for USTRANSCOM, USAF, and DFAS.	annual	TBD	TBD	Current BEA compliance.	2010-09-01
------------	------------------	--	--------	-----	-----	-------------------------	------------

Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
-------------	--------	----------------	------------------------------	--------------

			2014	Maintain compliance with each annual BEA version update.	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Technology	Interoperability	Implement a strategy to migrate "a blueprint for DOD Business Transformation" into DOD Business Enterprise Architecture (BEA) for USTRANSCOM, USAF, and DFAS	annual	TBD	TBD	100% compliant with BEA 6.0	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	DEAMS target is to be 100% compliant with BEA 7.0	Successful FY10 Annual Assertion in accordance with BEA v7.0 completed on 11 Jun 2010	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Promote development of DOD wide financial management solutions and processes	annual	TBD	TBD	FY09 SFIS Assertion	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2010	Successful FY10 Annual Assertion	Successful FY10 Annual Assertion in accordance with BEA v7.0 completed on 11 Jun 2010	Met	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Promote development of DOD wide financial management solutions and processes	annual	TBD	TBD	TBD	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2011	DEAMS target is to maintain annual assertion to the Department of Defense Architecture Framework (DoDAF)	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20

Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Promote development of DOD wide financial management solutions and processes	annual	TBD	TBD	Current DODAF submission in annual NDAA package	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	Submit DODAF submission annually within NDAA package.	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Promote development of DOD wide financial management solutions and processes	annual	TBD	TBD	Current DODAF submission in annual NDAA package.	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	Submit DODAF submission annually as part of NDAA package and receive approval from the Defense Business System Management Committee.	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Promote development of DOD-wide financial management solutions and processes.	annual	TBD	TBD	Current DODAF submission in annual NDAA package.	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2014	Submit updated DODAF annually as part of NDAA package and receive approval from the Defense Business System Management Committee.	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Provide for full compliance with Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 and Government	annual	TBD	TBD	Inc 1, Sp 2 JFMIP/FSIO assertion checklist (Valid CFO attestation)	2010-09-01

Management Reform Act  
requirements

			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2012	Successful Annual JFMIP/FSIO and Internal Controls Assertions.	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20
Mission and Business Results	Accounting	Provide for full compliance with Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 and Government Management Reform Act requirements	annual	TBD	TBD	Inc 1, Sp 2 JFMIP/FSIO assertion checklist (valid CFO attestation).	2010-09-01
			Fiscal Year	Target	Actual Results	Target "Met" or "Not Met"	Last Updated
			2013	.Successful Annual JFMIP/FSIO and Internal Controls Assertions.	TBD	Not Due	2010-09-20

\* - Indicates data is redacted.